



Introduction to break-out session

Peter Oostenbach



Content

- ✦ Main objective / delivery:
 - A proposal how the Animal Health Data Comparison Project (AHDC project) can proceed
 - to be used in the final report (end of phase 2)
 - as proposal for a phase 3 project.
- ✦ Addressing:
 - The vision of each species break-out
 - The preferred scale of the next stage of AHDC project
 - Listing of the (potential) participants
 - Hurdles
 - Timelines



Vision

- ✦ The perspectives as presented today by
 - DG SANCO
 - OIE
 - ISAH
 - COPA-COGECA
- ✦ The results of the first workshop
- ✦ Your ideas
- ✦ Your preferences
- ✦ Your expertise and experiences
- ✦ Variations between species



Scale

- ✦ Scale will be decisive when embarking
 - Too small can be an indication for being not representative or lacking critical mass
 - Too big can be an indication for complexity with a high likelihood of not achieving the set objectives
 - Three dimensions?
 - National = participation in a project in one specific country
 - Across borders = participants of bordering countries
 - International = several countries



Participants

- Owners of data collections willing to enlarge the data collection to enhance the power of analysis
- Representing all the same 'stage' in production/processing of food animals
- Representing several 'stages' of one and the same production chain
- Representing several 'stages' of more than one production chain
- Private and / or governmental



Hurdles

✦ To be identified per proposal per species

➤ ...

➤ ...

➤ ...

➤ ...

➤ ...



Timeline

- ✦ Report EADGENE Data Comparison Project to be published Feb 2010.
- ✦ Proposals for specific proposals can be submitted under FP7:
 - A stepwise approach (others joining later)
 - Similar smaller projects running alongside (exchanging experiences at specified moments ?)
 - 'Portioned' projects (consolidated after completion ?)

NOTE:

The EFSA press release on "Technical meeting on animal welfare aspects of genetic selection in broilers and broiler breeders" explicitly refers to the relevance of data collections and also mentions an article 36 project awarded to a consortium coordinated by INRA



Conclusions

➤ To be identified per proposal per species.

➤ ...


➤ ...

➤ ...

➤ ...

➤ ...

General overview of a possible project





Results break out session WG: ...



Vision

✦ ...
• ...



Scale

✦ ...

➤ ...



Countries/participants


✦ ...

➤ ...



Hurdles


- ✦ ...
- ...





Timeline

- ✦ ...
- ...





Conclusions

➤ To be identified per proposal

➤ ...

➤ ...

➤ ...

➤ ...

➤ ...